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## Executive Summary

The California Contribution Report was developed by Printing Industries of America's Center for Print Economic and Market Research based on data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Census Bureau, and the PIA model of the printing industry.

The year 2013 is used for the contribution analysis due to lags in collecting and reporting U.S. government data. We used the BEA RIMS I and RIMS II multipliers to determine Indirect and Induced economic impacts the printing industry has on the California economy.

In some cases we have included more recent data for on overall national industry trends. Also, the information contained in the Appendix is for 2012 since that is the last full year of printing industry data broken out for all states by Printing Industries of America.

In summary, the economic impact of the printing industry on the California economy is very significant.

In 2013 the printing industry directly contributed $\$ 8.13$ billion in output, 44,732 jobs, and $\$ 1.89$ billion in labor income to California's economy. After calculating backward linked supply chain effects or indirect economic contributions and household spending generated by labor income or induced economic contributions, these values increased significantly.

Total economic contributions for the California economy amounted to $\$ 17.73$ billion in output, $\$ 8.52$ billion in value-added (GDP), 93,638 jobs, and $\$ 4.25$ billion in labor income*.
*May not add to totals because of rounding

Backward-linked supply chain effects or indirect contributions accounted for $25.7 \%$ or $\$ 4.55$ billion of total economic contribution, $22 \%$ of employment contribution or 20,572 jobs, and $27.3 \%$ of labor income contribution or $\$ 1.16$ billion. Household spending generated by labor income or induced economic contributions accounted for $\$ 5.05$ billion or $28.5 \%$ of total economic contribution, $30.3 \%$ of employment contribution or 28,333 jobs, and $28.4 \%$ of labor income contributions or $\$ 1.21$ billion.

The printing industry in California generates $\$ 8.52$ billion in value-added, or GDP, annually through its direct economic impact and indirect/induced effects or approximately $0.4 \%$ of the state's $\$ 2.2$ trillion total economic output. Compared to the overall national figures, the California printing industry drives a slightly smaller percent of GDP than other states. The national average is $0.51 \%$ of GDP.

The printing industry has a strong relationship with other sectors of the economy in California, leading to significant multipliers.

For every additional $\$ 1$ in output, the printing industry generates an additional $\$ 1.18$ in backward-linked, nonprinting industry spending and household spending.

Every additional job in the California printing industry supports an additional 1.1 non-print jobs due to interindustry effects and additional household spending. The printing industry has a strong inter-industry relationship with other manufacturers- $21.4 \%$ of print's inter-industry effect is with other manufacturing industries.

## Introduction

The aim of this study is to establish the economic and fiscal significance of the printing industry to the California economy. We define importance as the total contribution to the regional economy in terms of shipments, employment, labor income, and value-add/GDP. We used the definition of an Economic Contribution Study from an article written for The Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy below:
"Contribution analysis is a descriptive analysis that simply tracks the gross economic activity of the given event, policy, or industry as the dollars cycle through the region's economy. The economic model is built to represent the structure and degree of interconnectedness in the regional economy with the output of each sector broken down and attributed to expenditures on intermediate inputs. The contribution analysis simply looks at the actual regional data and the current linkages that exist within the economy. The purpose of the analysis is to determine how much economic activity was associated with the industry. It is a "just the facts" type of analysis and is based on exploring the revealed preferences of how people spent their money. When related to an existing industry, a contribution analysis may provide evidence of how relatively large a sector is in the existing economy and
how much economic activity is being cycled through the economy by a given industry."

The results from our economic contribution study of the printing industry in the California economy will show the interconnectedness of the printing industry with the regional economy. We will be able to see how the industry in some states has a greater effect on the overall economy or more linkages than in other states.

We will also get a clear understanding of how much economic activity is being cycled through the California economy because of the printing industry and calculate how much economic activity was associated with the industry.

## Current Industry Conditions

Generally, the printing industry consistently tracks with the overall economy. More specifically, print tends to decline earlier and more intensively in recessions and to lag in economic recoveries. However, it tends to do best in a mature recovery phase of the business cycle. At the present time this means that print is in a "sweet spot" with the economy entering a seventh year of recovery since the end of the Great Recession in June of 2009.

## PERCENT CHANGE IN NOMINAL GDP VS. NOMINAL PRINT

- GDP percent change based on current dollars


The year 2015 was a very good year for the printing industry. The American economy is now in the $7^{\text {th }}$ year of economic recovery. Although the recovery has been the weakest of all the post WW II upturns, it has been in a mature phase for some time now. As a result print has not only caught up with the economy but has now surpassed it in terms of growth. There is further evidence of print's current health. Based on a recent Institute of Supply Management Report on

PRINT HITS THE SWEET SPOT YTD\% CHANGE 1ST 3 QTR'S 2015


Business, the printing industry continues to out-pace other manufacturing industries in key growth metrics. Of the 18 manufacturing industries covered in the ISM survey, the printing industry was ranked number one in four growth categories: Shipments, New Orders, Production and Employment. Additionally, print was ranked number two in export orders.

PRINT'S RANK OUT OF 18 MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES


Not a bad performance for a supposedly "mature" industry. So why is print doing so well? The keys factors are:

- First and foremost, the economy has been in the "sweet spot" for print, a mature recovery phase, for a long time now
+ Print logistics, packaging and labels/wrappers, typically follow the economy and without competition from digital media, are providing sold baseline growth
+ The long-term hollowing out of print from competition from digital media appears to be slowing as the "low hanging fruit" has been picked
- Print as a marketing and promotional media has proven its effectiveness and is showing solid growth.
- Even print's function as a communications media, long under assault from digital media is doing relatively better with printed books bouncing back
Printing industry profits have also improved over the last few years. Over the period from 2004 to 2015 printers participating in Printing Industries of America's Ratios study averaged 2.1 percent profit on sales. This year's 3 percent is not only more than one point above the average but also only the third year with profits on sales in the 3 percent or higher range. For profit leaders, the 2015 profit on sales rate of 10.3 percent ties last year with the highest rate over the last 12 years. On average, profit leaders earned 9.5 over the last 12 years.

Profit challengers, printers in the bottom 75 percent of profitability, actually lost money in six of the last 12 years. Overall, they lost an average of .5 percent of sales 2004-2015. However, their profit rate of .6 percent this year was the highest ever for the 12 year period.

The worst year for all printers, profit leaders and profit challengers came at the tail- end of the Great Recession, 2010 as both industry sales and profitability were most impacted. This dramatically demonstrates the fact print does best in mature economic recoveries and does worst at the bottom of recessions.

PROFITS \% OF SALES 2004-2015



## The Printing Industry Defined

Call it printing. Or call it graphic arts or graphic communications. Whatever you call it, you're referring to one of the largest industries in the United States in terms of not only employees but also annual shipments. Printing is typically referred to as a manufacturing industry, since it results in a final physical or manufactured product. However, over the last couple of decades, the industry has added a broad menu of various complementary services expanding the marketspace that "printers" occupy. In doing so, printers have increasingly become communications providers offering a variety of ancillary services such as:

+ Mailing
+ Fulfillment
+ Inventory management
+ Mailing list maintenance and database management
- Web based marketing services and integration with print
+ Marketing consulting
+ Graphic Design
+ E-commerce services
At the same time, printers continue to print. In so doing, they utilize a variety of printing processes:
+ Sheetfed Lithographic
+ Heatset Web Lithographic
+ Toner-Based Digital
+ Production Inkjet
+ Coldset Web Lithographic
+ Flexographic
+ Screen
+ Letterpress

Even as the industry marketspace has expanded, the industry can still be described in terms of the products produced. Printing Industries of America categorizes these products by their intended function:

- Print intended to inform and communicate-magazines, periodicals, books, newspapers, etc.
+ Print intended to market and promote-general commercial printing for direct marketing and promotional purposes.
- Print intended as a product logistical functionpackaging and labels.

Even with this narrow focus, the printing industry has a very large economic footprint and is one of the most geographically dispersed manufacturing industries in the US. In fact, there are more NAICS 323 establishments in the U.S. than there are McDonalds outlets $(14,350)$. There are also more NAICS 323 establishments in the U.S. than there are restaurants of the top four pizza outlets-Pizza Hut, Dominos, Little Caesars, and Papa Johns. This comparison holds for California also with more in state printing establishments than McDonald's outlets and leading-brand pizza outlets.

## INFORM \& COMMUNICATE

Magazines \& Periodicals Newspaper Printing Book Printing
Financial \& Legal Printing
Business Form Printing Greeting Card Printing

## PRODUCT LOGISTICS

Converters, Label, \& Wrapper Printing

Package Printing


While much has been written about the impact of digital communications, print continues to have a major economic footprint. Of the three functions, only the inform and communicate function has been most severely impacted. The other two functions have continued to grow.

There are two print-related industrial sectors in the U.S. Census Bureau's NAISC (North American Industrial Classification System). The two industrial sectors are NAICS 323 Printing and Related Support Activities and NAICS 511 Print Related Media. What has traditionally been referred to as the printing industry encompasses many segments: general commercial printing, quick printing, digital imaging, magazine, newspaper, book and display graphics, financial and legal printing, screen printing, forms printing, label and tag printing, packaging, greeting card, and trade and finishing services. (These are encompassed by NAICS code group 323). This sector is used in this analysis.

The focus of this study is NAICS 323, Printing and Related Support Activities. Because of the very close business relationship between NAICS 323 and NAICS 511, Print Related Media, much of the impact between the two sectors is "internalized" within the two sectors. Therefore, by focusing on NAICS 323, the vast majority of the spillover impact is captured.

And, most importantly, the printing establishments are manufacturers rather than restaurants and therefore have greater induced economic spillover benefits due to more employment, materials, and equipment purchases and higher average wage and benefits.

Nationally, in 2014 (the most recent full-year of data) NAICS 323 Print and Related Support Activities had a very large economic footprint:

- Shipments of \$84.6 Billion
+ Establishments: 27,526
+ Employment: 471,611


## Study Goals, Process and Methodology

The aim of this study is to establish the economic importance of the printing industry to the California economy. We define importance as the total contribution to the regional economy in terms of shipments, employment, labor income, and value-add/GDP.

The results from our economic and fiscal contribution study will show the interconnectedness of the printing industry with the California economy. We will gain a clear understanding of how much economic activity is being cycled through the California economy due to printing industry. We will also calculate how much economic activity was associated with the printing industry.

The study process includes the California Print Industry Fiscal contributions as well as Federal, State, and Local tax contributions. We estimated these fiscal contributions using data from the PIA Ratios financial benchmarking database, Fiscal Year 2013 Analytical Perspectives Budget of the U.S. government from the OMB, and the Tax Foundation. See Appendix for definitions.

## The Economic Contribution Model

Direct Contribution

+ Indirect Contribution
+ Induced Contribution
Total Economic Contribution


## Study Findings on Economic Contributions

In 2013 the printing industry directly contributed $\$ 8.13$ billion in output, 44,732 jobs, and $\$ 1.89$ billion in labor income to California's economy. After calculating backwardlinked supply chain effects or indirect economic contributions and household spending generated by labor income or induced economic contributions, these values increased significantly. Total economic contributions for the California economy amounted to $\$ 17.73$ billion in output, $\$ 8.52$ billion in value-added (GDP), 93,638 jobs, and $\$ 4.25$ billion in labor income.

Backward-linked supply chain effects or indirect contributions accounted for $25.7 \%$ or $\$ 4.55$ billion of total economic contribution, $22 \%$ of employment contribution or 20,572 jobs, and $27.3 \%$ of labor income contribution
or $\$ 1.16$ billion. Household spending generated by labor income or induced economic contributions accounted for $\$ 5.05$ billion or $28.5 \%$ of total economic contribution, $30.3 \%$ of employment contribution or 28,333 jobs, and $28.4 \%$ of labor income contributions or $\$ 1.21$ billion.

The printing industry in California generates $\$ 8.52$ billion in value-added, or GDP, annually through its direct economic impact and indirect/induced effects or approximately $0.4 \%$ of the state's $\$ 2.2$ trillion total economic output. Compared to the overall national figures, the California printing industry drives a slightly smaller percent of GDP than other states. The national average is $0.51 \%$ of GDP.

The printing industry has a strong relationship with other sectors of the economy in California, leading to significant multipliers. For every additional $\$ 1$ in output, the printing industry generates an additional $\$ 1.18$ in backward-linked, non-printing industry spending and household spending. To break that down further, every additional $\$ 1$ of output generated by the printing industry leads to an additional $\$ 0.609$ in backward-linked industries and $\$ 0.576$ in additional household spending. In California, the backwardlinked industries the printing industry has the strongest relationship with include manufacturing; professional, scientific, and technical services; wholesale trade; real estate and rental and leasing; administrative and waste management services; and finance and insurance. The industries most affected by induced household spending generated by the printing industry include real estate and rental and leasing; health care and social assistance; finance and insurance; manufacturing; and retail trade (Figure 2).

Every additional job in the California printing industry supports an additional 1.1 non-print jobs due to interindustry effects and additional household spending. The

|  | Direct Contribution | Indirect <br> Contribution | Induced Contribution | Total Economic <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output ( $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 0}$ ) | $\$ 8,125,570.20$ | $\$ 4,553,569.50$ | $\$ 5,053,292.10$ | $\$ 17,732,431.70$ |
| Employment | 44,732 | 20,572 | 28,333 | 93,638 |
| Labor Income (\$1000s) | $\$ 1,885,432.30$ | $\$ 1,159,540.90$ | $\$ 1,208,373.60$ | $\$ 4,253,346.8$ |
| Value-Added or GDP <br> (\$1000s) | $\$ 3,819,018.00$ | $\$ 1,717,745.50$ | $\$ 2,987,772,10$ | $\$ 8,524,535.60$ |


printing industry has a strong inter-industry relationship with other manufacturers- $21.4 \%$ of print's inter-industry effect is with other manufacturing industries. Since, on average, manufacturing pays higher average salaries than the service sector, the labor income per additional job is high. For each job directly supported by the printing industry in California, the average labor income per job is $\$ 42,149$, and the indirect labor income per job is $\$ 56,364$. Induced employment contributions are based on additional household spending cycling through the economy. The sectors of the California economy that are most affected by the induced employment contribution include health care and social assistance; food services and drinking places; wholesale trade; financial and insurance; and transportation and warehousing. Each job directly generated by the printing
industry results in 0.63 being supported through induced employment contributions. The average labor income for these jobs is $\$ 42,649$ in California. The industries that are most interconnected to the printing industry through the combination of indirect and induced employment contribution include manufacturing; health care and social assistance; retail trade; administrative and waste management services; and real estate and rental and leasing (Figure 3).

The California economy generated over $\$ 2.1$ trillion in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2013 or approximately $13.3 \%$ of total U.S. output. The largest industries in terms of percent of GDP in California are real estate and rental and leasing (15.9\%); manufacturing (11.1\%); professional,

## INDUSTRIES MOST INTERCONNECTED WITH THE PRINTING INDUSTRY IN CALIFORNIA

Percent of Indirect and Induced Output Generated by Print Economic Activity


[^0]Percent of Indirect and Induced Employment Generated by Print Economic Activity


Figure 3.
scientific, and technical services (8.3\%); information (8.0\%); and health care and social assistance (6.2\%). We do not include government in the list above ( $12.4 \%$ of output) because it is considered a final use of GDP in the inputoutput models. Real GDP in California increased by $4.1 \%$ in 2013. The industries that are driving the most growth in 2013 include real estate and rental and leasing (increased $4.2 \%$ adding $0.7 \%$ to total California output or $16.4 \%$ share of growth); information (increased $7.8 \%$ adding $0.6 \%$ to
total output or $14.5 \%$ share of growth); and manufacturing (increased $4.3 \%$ adding $0.5 \%$ to total output or $11.4 \%$ share of growth). The two industries growing at the fastest rate in 2013 are management of companies and enterprises ( $12.5 \%$ ) and agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting (10.2\%). In 2013 two industries in California were in decline, professional, scientific, and technical services ( $-0.6 \%$ ) and mining $(-9.1 \%)$. The size of the industry in the region has an effect on the indirect and induced impact the printing

## GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT BY INDUSTRY IN CALIFORNIA

| Industry | (millions of current dollars) |  |  |  |  | Percent Share of State Output |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GDP } \\ & \text { (millions of current dollars) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Percent change 2012 to 2013 | Share of Growth | Contribution to Change in Output |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2013 |  |  |  | 2012 | 2013 |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 338,591 | 352,965 | 4.2\% | 16.4\% | 0.7\% | 15.9\% | 15.9\% |
| Manufacturing | 234,655 | 244,629 | 4.3\% | 11.4\% | 0.5\% | 11.0\% | 11.1\% |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 185,576 | 184,520 | -0.6\% | -1.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 8.3\% |
| Information | 163,411 | 176,168 | 7.8\% | 14.5\% | 0.6\% | 7.7\% | 8.0\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 131,066 | 138,248 | 5.5\% | 8.2\% | 0.3\% | 6.2\% | 6.2\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 123,156 | 129,600 | 5.2\% | 7.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Retail Trade | 123,119 | 129,298 | 5.0\% | 7.0\% | 0.3\% | 5.8\% | 5.8\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 106,476 | 112,932 | 6.1\% | 7.3\% | 0.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.1\% |
| Construction | 66,291 | 71,074 | 7.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% |
| Administrative and Waste Management Services | 64,597 | 67,228 | 4.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 53,785 | 56,750 | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 47,710 | 50,537 | 5.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Other Services, Except Government | 45,826 | 47,649 | 4.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting | 33,885 | 37,345 | 10.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 30,416 | 34,209 | 12.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 27,382 | 28,607 | 4.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Utilities | 25,810 | 27,754 | 7.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% |
| Mining | 28,755 | 26,143 | -9.1\% | -3.0\% | -0.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% |
| Educational Services | 21,707 | 22,101 | 1.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Government | 272,920 | 275,233 | 0.8\% | 2.6\% | 0.1\% | 12.8\% | 12.4\% |
| All Industry Total | 2,125,134 | 2,12,990 | 4.1\% | 100,0\% | 4.1\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

[^1]industry has on the regional economy. In other words, on average, the larger the industry, the more interconnected it is with various industries within a region.

For example, real estate, rental, and leasing accounts for 15.9\% of total economic output in California-the largest industry. Over $9.0 \%$ of the printing industry's indirect and induced contributions to the regional economy are circulated through the real estate industry. The five largest industries in California account for over $50.0 \%$ of printing industry indirect and induced contribution to the regional economy. The top two industries, real estate ( $16.0 \%$ of total economic output and $13.0 \%$ of print indirect and induced output) and manufacturing ( $11.0 \%$ of total economic output and $18.0 \%$ of print indirect and induced output), account for over $31.0 \%$ of prints contribution to California. The finance and insurance industries in CA only accounts for $5.0 \%$ of total economic
output but $9.0 \%$ of print indirect and induced output. See the figure below for the complete list of industry output as a percent of total regional output compared to indirect and induced printing industry economic contributions by industries (Figure 5).

## Study Findings on Fiscal Contributions

Federal, state, and local tax revenues generated by the California printing industry totaled over $\$ 917$ million. The printing industry added $\$ 3.82$ billion in direct valueadded output to California's gross domestic product, and $24 \%$ of this output was collected by the federal, state, and local governments in taxes. The main source of federal tax revenues was individual income taxes ( $47 \%$ ) and social security taxes (33\%). Almost 7\% of California's printing industry revenues or $\$ 257$ million were collected to help fund the state and local governments. Property taxes (40\%)

## SIZE OF CALIFORNIA INDUSTRIES COMPARED TO INDUSTRIES’ INDIRECT AND INDUCED RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PRINTING INDUSTRY

| Industry | Rank |  | Percent of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size of Each Industry in California (\$ Output) | Each Industry's Interconnectedness with the California Printing Industry | Total California Regional GDP | Printing Industry Indirect and Induced Output |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 1 | 2 | 15.95\% | 12.7\% |
| Manufacturing | 2 | 1 | 11.05\% | 17.7\% |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 3 | 4 | 8.34\% | 8.8\% |
| Information | 4 | 7 | 7.96\% | 5.6\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 5 | 6 | 6.25\% | 6.3\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 6 | 5 | 5.86\% | 7.7\% |
| Retail Trade | 7 | 8 | 5.84\% | 5.4\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 8 | 3 | 5.10\% | 9.0\% |
| Construction | 9 | 16 | 3.21\% | 1.1\% |
| Administrative and Waste Management Services | 10 | 9 | 3.04\% | 4.7\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 11 | 10 | 2.56\% | 4.5\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 12 | 11 | 2.28\% | 4.1\% |
| Other Services, Except Government | 13 | 12 | 2.15\% | 3.5\% |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting | 14 | 19 | 1.69\% | 0.5\% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 15 | 13 | 1.55\% | 3.0\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 16 | 15 | 1.29\% | 1.3\% |
| Utilities | 17 | 14 | 1.25\% | 2.3\% |
| Mining | 18 | 18 | 1.18\% | 0.9\% |
| Educational Services | 19 | 17 | 1.00\% | 1.1\% |

## Figure 5.

and sales or use taxes ( $25 \%$ ) were the two main sources of revenue for state and local governments. (Figure 6).

## Conclusions

The printing industry in California is large and a significantly interconnected industry. Each additional dollar generated by the printing industry in California leads to an additional $\$ 1.18$ spent in backwardlinked supply chain spending and additional household spending. Each print job supports 1.1 jobs in the California labor market due to spending of labor income in the backward-linked supply chain industries and household spending. Print industry output in California is most interconnected with other manufacturers; real estate
and rental and leasing; and finance and insurance. Print industry employment in California is most interconnected with the following industries: manufacturing; retail trade; and health care and social assistance. The effects of an industry on the local economy add to total output, employment, wages, and also support of government programs. In California almost 7\% of the printing industry's $\$ 3.8$ billion in value-added output went to support state and local governments. Data used in this study are based on many sources, including BEA RIMS II multipliers, The Tax Foundation, County Business Patterns Database, PIA Print Market Atlas, and the PIA Ratios Financial database for the printing industry.

## 2013 FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL TAXES GENERATED BY THE PRINTING INDUSTRY IN CALIFORNIA



Total Fiscal Contribution: \$917.66

Figure 6.
Sources: U.S. Census, Office of Management and Budget, and Tax Foundation


## Definitions

## Direct Contribution or Final-Demand Industry:

 The "Direct" contribution relates to the first round of inputs purchased from the final-demand industry or the figure we calculate in the Print Market Atlas. It is the portion of print shipments that are purchased for consumption by the final user. It includes the consumption of the goods and services that are produced and distributed in the economy. In the Input-Output accounts, final-use transactions consist of transactions that make up the final-expenditure components of GDP: personal consumption expenditures; private fixed investment; change in private inventories; exports of goods and services; imports of goods and services; federal, state, and local government consumption expenditures; and gross investment.Indirect Contribution: Relates to the subsequent rounds of inputs purchased by supporting industries. Some of the supporting industries include: mining, utilities, construction, manufacturing, wholesale trade, retail trade, information, educational services, healthcare, and social services; arts, entertainment, and recreation, etc. The sum of the "Direct" and "Indirect" contributions is called the inter-industry effect or inter-industry total. This takes into
account the spending the printing industry generates in other industries-the impact of local industries buying goods and services from other local industries. The cycle of spending works its way backward through the supply chain until all money leaks from the local economy, either through imports or by payments to value-added.

Induced Contributions: RIMS Type II multipliers not only account for the inter-industry effect, but also account for the induced economic contribution to final demand. The induced contribution relates to spending of workers whose earnings or labor income are affected by the final demand contribution. This contribution is called the household-spending contribution. We use the multipliers to measure the total contribution to the state economy.

Total Economic Contributionः Includes the final demand generated by the printing industry, the interindustry contributions or indirect effects, and the induced contribution from household spending that the printing industry supports.

For more information on how Input-Output multipliers are developed by the BEA we recommend reading the RIMS II bandbook, "An essential tool for regional developers and planners."
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## Notes on Appendix A, B, and C

The information contained in Appendix A, B and C are all for the year 2012. The year 2012 is the last full year of data that Printing Industries of America has broken out national industry data for each state. This data is presented to show the California printing industry compared to the printing industries of other states.

## APPENDIX A

Commercial Print and Related Support Activities (NAICS 323) $\quad 16$
National Overview
U.S. Commercial Print and Related Support Activities (NAICS 323)

17
State Rankings

APPENDIX B
U.S. Print Related Media (excludes electronic publishing) (NAICS 511)

National Overview
U.S. Print Related Media (NAICS 511)

State Rankings

## APPENDIX C

U.S. Commercial and Related Support Activities and Print Related Media
(NAICS 323 + NAICS 511)
National Overview
18

19

## APPENDIX A

2012 U.S. COMMERCIAL PRINT AND RELATED SUPPORT ACTIVITIES (NAICS 323)

| State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments (\$1,000s) |  | State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments (\$1,000s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |
| Alabama | 3,727 | 31 | 342 | 26 | \$658,922 | 31 | Montana | 773 | 46 | 85 | 43 | \$136,664 | 46 |
| Alaska | 324 | 49 | 40 | 49 | \$57,282 | 49 | Nebraska | 2,939 | 33 | 187 | 34 | \$19,606 | 33 |
| Arizona | 5,048 | 27 | 440 | 22 | \$892,470 | 27 | Nevada | 2,922 | 34 | 174 | 35 | \$516,600 | 34 |
| Arkansas | 3,448 | 32 | 210 | 33 | \$609,595 | 32 | New Hampshire | 2,77 | 36 | 151 | 37 | \$384,887 | 36 |
| California | 45,645 | 1 | 3,360 | 1 | \$8,069,888 | 1 | New Jersey | 15,960 | 10 | 935 | 8 | \$2,821,676 | 10 |
| Colorado | 5,446 | 25 | 505 | 20 | \$962,835 | 25 | New Mexico | 796 | 45 | 132 | 38 | \$140,730 | 45 |
| Connecticut | 6,336 | 23 | 367 | 24 | \$1,120,184 | 23 | New York | 23,956 | 5 | 1,765 | 3 | \$4,235,343 | 5 |
| Delaware | 451 | 48 | 56 | 47 | \$79,735 | 48 | North Carolina | 12,138 | 16 | 856 | 10 | \$2,145,959 | 16 |
| District of Columbia | 319 | 50 | 41 | 48 | \$56,398 | 50 | North Dakota | 750 | 47 | 59 | 46 | \$132,598 | 47 |
| Florida | 16,975 | 9 | 1,590 | 4 | \$3,001,125 | 9 | Ohio | 23,850 | 6 | 1,176 | 7 | \$4,216,603 | 6 |
| Georgia | 12,749 | 14 | 787 | 11 | \$2,253,982 | 14 | Oklahoma | 3,816 | 30 | 287 | 30 | \$674,656 | 30 |
| Hawaii | 1,031 | 44 | 99 | 41 | \$182,277 | 44 | Oregon | 5,387 | 26 | 394 | 23 | \$952,404 | 26 |
| Idaho | 1,079 | 42 | 102 | 40 | \$190,764 | 42 | Pennsylvania | 29,500 | 3 | 1,98 | 6 | \$5,215,504 | 3 |
| Illinois | 31,252 | 2 | 1,464 | 5 | \$5,525,252 | 2 | Rhode Island | 1,602 | 40 | 126 | 39 | \$283,228 | 40 |
| Indiana | 14,976 | 11 | 599 | 16 | \$2,647,708 | 11 | South Carolina | 4,370 | 29 | 322 | 27 | \$772,602 | 29 |
| Iowa | 6,693 | 22 | 310 | 28 | \$1,183,301 | 22 | South Dakota | 1,383 | 41 | 84 | 44 | \$244,510 | 41 |
| Kansas | 7,831 | 21 | 299 | 29 | \$1,384,495 | 21 | Tennessee | 11,529 | 17 | 582 | 17 | \$2,038,290 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 10,095 | 19 | 344 | 25 | \$1,784,763 | 19 | Texas | 23,571 | 7 | 1,820 | 2 | \$4,167,276 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 2,554 | 35 | 279 | 31 | \$451,539 | 35 | Utah | 4,956 | 28 | 257 | 32 | \$876,205 | 28 |
| Maine | 1,662 | 39 | 126 | 39 | \$293,836 | 39 | Vermont | 1,036 | 43 | 69 | 45 | \$183,161 | 43 |
| Maryland | 8,501 | 20 | 451 | 21 | \$1,502,949 | 20 | Virginia | 12,574 | 15 | 573 | 18 | \$2,233,042 | 15 |
| Massachusetts | 10,494 | 18 | 642 | 15 | \$1,855,305 | 18 | Washington | 6,029 | 24 | 531 | 19 | \$1,065,908 | 24 |
| Michigan | 14,522 | 12 | 900 | 9 | \$2,567,442 | 12 | West Virginia | 1,855 | 38 | 87 | 42 | \$327,958 | 38 |
| Minnesota | 23,236 | 8 | 716 | 13 | \$4,108,049 | 8 | Wisconsin | 27,245 | 4 | 763 | 12 | \$4,816,828 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 1,977 | 37 | 155 | 36 | \$349,527 | 37 | Wyoming | 262 | 51 | 39 | 50 | \$46,321 | 51 |
| Missouri | 13,861 | 13 | 650 | 14 | \$2,450,580 | 13 | Total U.S. | 471,608 |  | 27,526 |  | \$83,378,76 |  |

## APPENDIX A

## COMMERCIAL PRINT AND RELATED SUPPORT ACTIVITIES (NAICS 323)

## 2012 NATIONAL OVERVIEW

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NalsC Segment | Employment | Establishments | Shipments (\$1,000s) |
| 323111 Commercial Print | 357,535 | 20,744 | $\$ 63,211,028.10$ |
| 323113 Commercial Screen | 59,193 | 4,525 | $\$ 10,465,130.4$ |
| 323117 Commercial Book | 26,109 | 493 | $\$ 4,615,986.5$ |
| 323120 Support Activities | 28,771 | 1,764 | $\$ 5,086,619.5$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 1 , 6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 5 2 6}$ | $\$ 83,378,764.50$ |

2012 U.S. PRINT RELATED MEDIA (PUBLISHING) (NAICS 511)

| State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments (\$1,000s) |  | State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments (\$1,000s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |
| New York | 54,819 | 1 | 1,442 | 2 | \$10,15,009 | 1 | Connecticut | 6,073 | 26 | 246 | 26 | \$912,495 | 27 |
| California | 36,360 | 2 | 1,838 | 1 | \$5,843,425 | 2 | Arizona | 6,78 | 25 | 319 | 21 | \$900,522 | 28 |
| 1 llinois | 21,661 | 3 | 776 | 5 | \$3,91,558 | 3 | Alabama | 5,736 | 27 | 227 | 29 | \$864,888 | 29 |
| Pennsylvania | 21,525 | 4 | 610 | 6 | \$3,266,453 | 4 | Oregon | 4,626 | 30 | 286 | 24 | \$681,601 | 30 |
| Texas | 20,564 | 6 | 1,109 | 3 | \$3,12,765 | 5 | Nebraska | 4,305 | 32 | 184 | 32 | \$632,542 | 31 |
| Ohio | 19,021 | 7 | 570 | 8 | \$3,104,92 | 6 | Oklahoma | 4,423 | 31 | 243 | 27 | \$602,772 | 32 |
| Florida | 21,15 | 5 | 1,056 | 4 | \$2,968,282 | 7 | Maine | 2,671 | 35 | 135 | 36 | \$47,573 | 33 |
| Massachusetts | 16,114 | 8 | 452 | 13 | \$2,955,116 | 8 | Louisiana | 3,451 | 33 | 193 | 31 | \$448,859 | 34 |
| New Jersey | 15,290 | 9 | 475 | 11 | \$2,76,235 | 9 | South Carolina | 3,406 | 34 | 203 | 30 | \$447,345 | 35 |
| Missouri | 11,972 | 13 | 403 | 17 | \$2,474,94 | 10 | New Hampshire | 2,414 | 36 | 109 | 42 | \$384,653 | 36 |
| Minnesota | 12,107 | 12 | 481 | 10 | \$2,242,840 | 11 | Utah | 2,95 | 37 | 123 | 37 | \$325,051 | 37 |
| Michigan | 12,888 | 10 | 430 | 14 | \$2,214,013 | 12 | Idaho | 2,032 | 40 | 107 | 43 | \$312,315 | 38 |
| Virginia | 12,706 | 11 | 595 | 7 | \$1,97,886 | 13 | Mississippi | 2,174 | 38 | 137 | 35 | \$263,195 | 39 |
| Georgia | 11,268 | 14 | 496 | 9 | \$1,65,782 | 14 | New Mexico | 1,890 | 41 | 117 | 41 | \$260,937 | 40 |
| Wisconsin | 9,766 | 15 | 397 | 18 | \$1,551,799 | 15 | West Virginia | 2,034 | 39 | 96 | 44 | \$238,424 | 41 |
| Tennessee | 9,243 | 16 | 333 | 20 | \$1,41,001 | 16 | Nevada | 1,729 | 42 | 140 | 34 | \$234,919 | 42 |
| lowa | 8,996 | 18 | 336 | 19 | \$1,413,204 | 17 | Montana | 1,646 | 43 | 120 | 40 | \$203,254 | 43 |
| Colorado | 7,777 | 20 | 429 | 15 | \$1,364,858 | 18 | South Dakota | 1,580 | 44 | 121 | 39 | \$197,235 | 44 |
| North Carolina | 9,049 | 17 | 470 | 12 | \$1,35,681 | 19 | North Dakota | 1,400 | 45 | 86 | 46 | \$177,72 | 45 |
| Kentucky | 6,401 | 23 | 257 | 25 | \$1,35,048 | 20 | Hawaii | 1,209 | 46 | 74 | 47 | \$174,497 | 46 |
| Indiana | 8,474 | 19 | 307 | 23 | \$1,28,313 | 21 | Vermont | 1,194 | 47 | 87 | 45 | \$169,795 | 47 |
| Maryland | 6,865 | 22 | 312 | 22 | \$1,25,186 | 22 | Rhode Island | 1,070 | 48 | 50 | 49 | \$40,368 | 48 |
| Kansas | 5,324 | 28 | 238 | 28 | \$1,138,161 | 23 | Wyoming | 1,014 | 49 | 52 | 48 | \$118,315 | 49 |
| Washington | 7,295 | 21 | 424 | 16 | \$1,03,683 | 24 | Alaska | 689 | 50 | 42 | 50 | \$96,931 | 50 |
| Arkansas | 4,702 | 29 | 159 | 33 | \$994,776 | 25 | Delaware | 629 | 51 | 40 | 51 | \$92,828 | 51 |
| District of Columbia | 6,313 | 24 | 122 | 38 | \$974,862 | 26 | Total U.S. | 442,983 |  | 18,05 |  | \$73,009,7 |  |

## APPENDIX B

## U.S. PRINT RELATED MEDIA (PUBLISHING) (NAICS 511)

2012 NATIONAL OVERVIEW

| NAISC Segment | Employment | Establishments | Shipments (\$1,000s) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 511110 Newspaper | 231,281 | 7,624 | $\$ 25,084,259.30$ |
| 511120 Periodical | 105,856 | 6,486 | $\$ 18,924,610.30$ |
| 511130 Book | 63,371 | 2,622 | $\$ 17,519,129.50$ |
| 511140 Directory and Maliing List | 31,577 | 1,206 | $\$ 7,393,484.80$ |
| 511191 Greeting Cards | 10,898 | 116 | $\$ 4,088,225.10$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 2 , 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 5 4}$ | $\$ 73,009,709.10$ |

2012 U.S. COMMERCIAL PRINT AND RELATED SUPPORT ACTIVITIES AND PRINT RELATED MEDIA

| State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments ( $\$ 1,000 s$ ) |  | State | Employment |  | Establishments |  | Shipments (\$1,000s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |  |  | Rank |  | Rank |  | Rank |
| Alabama | 9,463 | 28 | 569 | 27 | \$1,523,910 | 29 | Montana | 2,419 | 45 | 205 | 41 | \$339,918 | 47 |
| Alaska | 1,013 | 51 | 82 | 50 | \$154,214 | 51 | Nebraska | 7,244 | 32 | 371 | 33 | \$1,152,147 | 33 |
| Arizona | 11,226 | 26 | 759 | 22 | \$1,72,992 | 26 | Nevada | 4,651 | 36 | 314 | 35 | \$71,519 | 37 |
| Arkansas | 8,50 | 30 | 369 | 34 | \$1,604,371 | 28 | New Hampshire | 4,591 | 37 | 260 | 38 | \$769,539 | 36 |
| California | 82,005 | 1 | 5,98 | 1 | \$13,913,313 | 2 | New Jersey | 31,250 | 10 | 1,410 | 8 | \$5,582,911 | 10 |
| Colorado | 13,223 | 23 | 934 | 18 | \$2,327,693 | 23 | New Mexico | 2,686 | 43 | 249 | 39 | \$401,668 | 44 |
| Connecticut | 12,409 | 25 | 613 | 25 | \$2,032,679 | 25 | New York | 78,775 | 2 | 3,207 | 2 | \$14,350,352 | 1 |
| Delaware | 1,080 | 50 | 96 | 48 | \$172,563 | 49 | North Carolina | 21,187 | 17 | 1,326 | 10 | \$3,71,640 | 18 |
| District of Columbia | 6,632 | 34 | 163 | 45 | \$1,03,260 | 34 | North Dakota | 2,150 | 48 | 145 | 47 | \$310,369 | 48 |
| Florida | 38,090 | 7 | 2,646 | 4 | \$5,969,407 | 9 | Ohio | 42,871 | 6 | 1,746 | 7 | \$7,321,395 | 5 |
| Georgia | 24,017 | 15 | 1,283 | 11 | \$3,949,764 | 15 | Oklahoma | 8,239 | 29 | 530 | 29 | \$1,277,428 | 30 |
| Hawaii | 2,240 | 46 | 173 | 44 | \$356,775 | 45 | Oregon | 10,013 | 27 | 680 | 23 | \$1,634,005 | 27 |
| Idaho | 3,111 | 41 | 209 | 40 | \$503,079 | 41 | Pennsylvania | 51,025 | 4 | 1,808 | 6 | \$8,481,958 | 4 |
| Illinois | 52,93 | 3 | 2,40 | 5 | \$9,436,810 | 3 | Rhode sland | 2,672 | 44 | 176 | 43 | \$423,596 | 43 |
| Indiana | 23,450 | 16 | 906 | 20 | \$3,931,022 | 16 | South Carolina | 7,776 | 31 | 525 | 30 | \$1,299,947 | 31 |
| lowa | 15,289 | 21 | 646 | 24 | \$2,596,504 | 21 | South Dakota | 2,963 | 42 | 205 | 41 | \$441,74 | 42 |
| Kansas | 13,155 | 24 | 537 | 28 | \$2,522,656 | 22 | Tennessee | 20,72 | 18 | 915 | 19 | \$3,489,291 | 17 |
| Kentucky | 16,496 | 19 | 601 | 26 | \$3,109,81 | 19 | Texas | 44,135 | 5 | 2,929 | 3 | \$7,80,042 | 6 |
| Louisiana | 6,005 | 35 | 472 | 31 | \$900,398 | 35 | Utah | 7,151 | 33 | 380 | 32 | \$1,201,25 | 32 |
| Maine | 4,333 | 38 | 261 | 37 | \$751,409 | 38 | Vermont | 2,230 | 47 | 156 | 46 | \$352,956 | 46 |
| Maryland | 15,366 | 20 | 763 | 21 | \$2,78,735 | 20 | Virginia | 25,280 | 14 | 1,68 | 13 | \$4,70,929 | 14 |
| Massachusetts | 26,608 | 12 | 1,094 | 15 | \$4,790,421 | 12 | Washington | 13,324 | 22 | 955 | 17 | \$2,104,591 | 24 |
| Michigan | 27,40 | 11 | 1,330 | 9 | \$4,781,456 | 13 | West Virginia | 3,889 | 40 | 183 | 42 | \$566,382 | 40 |
| Minnesota | 35,343 | 9 | 1,197 | 12 | \$6,350,889 | 8 | Wisconsin | 37,011 | 8 | 1,160 | 14 | \$6,368,226 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 4,51 | 39 | 292 | 36 | \$612,722 | 39 | Wyoming | 1,276 | 49 | 91 | 49 | \$164,636 | 50 |
| Missouri | 25,833 | 13 | 1,053 | 16 | \$4,925,374 | 11 | Total U.S. | 914,591 |  | 45,580 |  | \$156,388,47 |  |

## APPENDIX C

## COMMERCIAL PRINT AND RELATED SUPPORT ACTIVITIES AND PRINT RELATED MEDIA (NAICS 323 + 511)

 2012 NATIONAL OVERVIEW|  | Employment | Establishments | Shipments $(\$ 1,000$ s) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NAISC $323+511$ | 914,591 | 45,580 | $\$ 156,388,473.50$ |
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